No.

THE UNITED SHAVES OF AMERICA

South Pakota Agricultural Experiment Station South Pakota State University

Wilhereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of acceptent. Years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to extude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different ty therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations the owner of the rights. (84 stat. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

WHEAT

'Eureka'

In Testimony Watercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 25th day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and seventy-nine

Altest:

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Grain Division

Grain Division Aaricultural Markeiina Service Bolo Secretary of Agriculture

UNITED STATES DEPARTME	NT OF AGRICULTUR	E		FORM AP	PROVED
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIE INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse,	AIN & SEED DIVISION		No certificate for pla be issued unless a co has been received (5)	impleted applica	tion may
1a. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OF	1b. VARIETY NAM	E		AL USE ONLY	
VARIETY	Eureka		PV NUMBER) E	
SD 2185	CI 17738		780010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. KIND NAME	3. GENUS AND SPE		8-30-18	™ 4 :00	A.M. P.M.
Wheat, Hard Red Spring 4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL)	Triticum ae		FEE RECEIVED	DATE	Ret
4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL)	5. DATE OF DETE	crease	\$ 500.00 \$ 250.00	8-30-	
Gramineae	decision	3/11/77	\$ #30100	13-18-	.1.9
6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	Date of re	t and No. or R.F.D. No.,	City State and ZID	8. TELEPHON	EAREA
South Dakota Agricultural	Code)	, and 140. of 14,1.15, 140.,	Ony, State, and 211	CODE AND	
Experiment Station		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		// COE \ / COO 1	
South Dakota State Univ.	Brookings,	SD 57007		(605)688-5	121
 IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PE ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnersh 	RSON, FORM OF sip, association, etc.)	10. IF INCORPORAT	ED, GIVE STATE AND PORATION	11. DATE OF PORATION	
Agricultural Experiment Stat					
12. NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APP ALL PAPERS: Dr. Ray A Moore					
DI. Nay A. MOOTE	•		L. Keim, Sprin		reeder
South Dakota Agr SDSU, Brookings,			ent of Plant Sorokings, SD 5		
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACK			rookings, an	57007	
x 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree		Variety (See Section .	52 of the Plant Variet	y Protection A	:t.)
x 13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statem	ient.				
X 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Descr	ription of the Variety	(Request form from	Plant Variety Protect	ion Office.)	
X 13D. Exhibit D, Additional Des				-33-4-17	
14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THA' SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes," answer			RIETY NAME ONLY AS	A CLASS OF C	ERTIFIED
14b. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THA LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERAT		14c. IF "YES," TO 14	B, HOW MANY GENER	ATIONS OF PRO	DUC-
X YES NO		FOUNDATION	REGISTERED	CERTIFIE	D .
15a. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROT name of countries and dates,)	ECTION OF THIS VA	RIETY IN OTHER COU	NTRIES7 YES	NO (If "Y	es," give
		And the state of t		A.13	1 44 4
			1120		
15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED THIS VA	ARIETY IN OTHER CO	OUNTRIES? YES	X NO (If "Yes,"	give name of cou	intries
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		÷	
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGREE TO TH	E PUBLICATION OF I	HIS/HER (THEIR) NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS IN	THE OFFICIAL	
17. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable replenished upon request in accordance	e sample of basic see e with such regulatio	d of this variety will l ns as may be applicab	oe furnished with the a	application and	will be
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable 42 of the Plant Variety Act.	ne owner(s) of this se as required in Sectio	exually reproduced no n 41, and is entitled t	vel plant variety, and o protection under the	believe(s) that e provisions of	the Section
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that fals	e representation here	ein can jeopardize pro	tection and result in p	enalties.	
7-18->8		1 Tay Cl	· Y)	<i></i>	
7/14/78		Donh	SIGNATURE OF APPLI	cant)	

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: Send an original copy of the application and exhibits, at least 2,500 viable seeds, and \$500 fee (\$250 filing fee and \$250 examination fee) to U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Livestock, Poultry, Grain and Seed Division, Plant Variety Protection Office, National Agricultural Library Building, Beltsville, Maryland 20705. (See section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the form are self-explanatory unless noted below.

ITEM

- Give the date the applicant determined that he had a new variety based on (1) the definition in section 41(a) of the Act and (2) the date a decision was made to increase the seed.
- Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability.
- Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties:

 (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these differences are significant; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons clearly indicating novelty.
- Fill in the Exhibit C, Objective Description form, for all characteristics for which you have adequate data.
- Describe any additional characteristics that are not described, or whose description cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the description of characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as, plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- If "YES" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed) the applicant may NOT reverse his affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, his decision published, or the certificate has been issued. However, if the applicant specified "NO," he may change his choice. (See section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)
- 15a See section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act and section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.



13A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety

- 1. Eureka is an F_5 -derived head selection from the cross, ERA/3/CORRE CAMINO// CIANO 67/SONORA 64, CM10656 (Table 1). This cross was made by the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) in Mexico.
- 2. Breeders seed was increased at Yuma, Arizona, in 1975 and again at Moody County in 1976.
- 3. Short, awned plants described as off types were rogued in the 1975 and 1976 increases. The frequency of off types, in the present Breeder's seed stock is less than 0.1%.
- 4. Other than the variants described in (3) above, the variety has been uniform for all plant characters in the increase fields. The variants are a result of either a low percentage of natural outcrossing or genetic mutation. Similar variants were found in certified seed sources of other awnletted varieties, such as Waldron, Chris and Ellar, in 1977.

13B. Novelty Statement

Eureka is a cultivar of Triticum aestivum L. with spring growth habit. The kernels are free-threshing, red, hard, mid-long and ovate. Kernel size is about 6 mm long and about 3 mm wide. The germ is small. The cheeks are angular with a midwide and middeep crease. The brush is midsized and midlong. There is no collar.

The spike is awnletted, fusiform, and erect. Spike length is typically 7 cm long and 13 mm wide and can be 8 cm long under favorable conditions. Glumes are yellowish-white, glabrous, short and midwide. The sholder is oblique or rounded. Beak is obtuse, narrow, and 1 mm long. The keel is well defined. The coleoptile is white and seedling anthocyanin is absent. Juvenile growth is erect. Plant color at booting is blue green. Waxy bloom is absent. Leaf blades and sheath are not hairy. The auricles are not hairy and have no anthocyanin. The stem is hollow. Usually four stem nodes originate from the node above ground.

Eureka is tall with an average height of 81.5 cm. The stems are strong and resist lodging better than Chris. Date of heading is approximately equal to Chris.

Eureka is resistant to the prevalent races of stem rust (Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici Erikss and Henn.). It has the following seedling reactions to races of stem rust.

Infection Type
2
2=
;
2
;
;1
2-

Field reading on adult reaction to stem rust ranged from 0 to tMS. Eureka is also resistant to leaf rust (\underline{P} . recondita Rob. ex Desm.). Adult plant reactions range from 0 to tMS.

Eureka most closely resembles a group of awnletted hard red spring wheat varieties, namely Waldron, Ellar, Chris, Marquis, Tioga, and Fortuna. Table 2 gives an objective description of differences in the varieties listed above. Eureka differs from Tioga and Fortuna in that the former has a hollow stem and the latter two varieties have solid stems. Eureka primarily differs from Waldron in mature stem color (see enclosed stem samples) and reaction to stem rust races HJCS, RKQS, and RHRS. Eureka differs from Ellar in mature stem color (see enclosed stem samples). Eureka and Ellar have not been compared directly in reaction to stem rust races. The major differences between Eureka and Chris include seed size (see enclosed seed samples), grain weight and reaction to stem rust races TNMK, HNLQ, and RKQS. Eureka also has a darker leaf color at heading than Chris (see enclosed photographs). All older varieties similar in morphology to Eureka are known to be susceptible to stem rust race TNM (15B-2). Eureka possesses a "2" type resistant reaction to this race. All other known hard red spring wheat varieties differ greatly from Eureka in gross morphology (awn-type, plant height, head shape) and/or maturity.

DEPARTMENT OF PLANT SCIENCE

Field Crops-Plant Pathology-Soils Main Office 219 Agricultural Hall (605) 688-5121 Plant Science Building (605) 688-5156

> South Dakota State University Brookings S.D. 57006

September 20, 1978

Larry W. Dosier, Examiner Plant Variety Protection Office USDA, Agric. Marketing Service National Agric. Library Building Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Dear Mr. Dosier:

SUBJECT: Application No. 7800105, Wheat, Eureka, Exhibit A,
Item 4

Eureka is stable with regard to its varietal characteristics. This is evidenced by observation of those traits over the generations in which Eureka has been grown for evaluation.

If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Don L. Keim

Assistant Professor

DLK:ksg

Table 1. History of 'Eureka' Hard Red Spring Wheat.
Pedigree: ERA/3/CORRE CAMINO//CIANO 67/SONORA 64
Cross Number: CM 10656
Selection Number: SD 2185

				-	
Generation	Year	Location	Planted	Harvested	Nursery Row No.
F0-F1	1970-71	Mexico	Cross Made, F ₁ Row	Bulked	CM 10656
FH CV	1971-72	Mexico	Bulk Row	Head Seln.	CM 10656
ĮTI CV	1972	Brookings	Head Row	Head Selm.	2115 8049 - 8054
Ħ 4	1972-73	Greenhouse	Head Hill	Head Seln.	2912 39-40
Įtri K	1973	Brookings	Head Row	Head Seln.	3119 4013
H 6	1973-74	Mexico	Head Row	Bulked	SDSU 386
F7	1974	Moody Co., Redfield	Yield Trial	Bulked	
μ 8	1975	9 Locations, South Dakota	Yield Trial	Bulked	
ų O	1975-76	Yuma, Ariz.	Breeder Seed Increase	Bulked	
F _{1.0}	1976	Moody Co.	Breeder Seed Increase	Bulked	
F ₁₁	1977	Brookings	Foundation Seed Inc.	Bulked	

Table 2. Objective description of varietal differences.

		()					See	II ing St	Seedling Stem Rust Reaction ^{2/}	. React	$\log \frac{2}{}$		
Variety Stem		Stem	Headed-/ Rip	Ripe ^{1/}	Plant <u>l</u> / Height	TNMK	SHSO JWNL	HJCS	Races	RKQS	RTQQ	RHRS	Grain Weight ³ /
			days	days	Cm								Вш
웊	Hollow	White	62	85	81.5	2	2=		2-	••	2	••	39.4
Waldron Hollow	MO.	Purple	61	86	9.61	2-	2-	2,0	2,0	2,;	2=	;,2	35.2
오	Hollow	Purple	l	ļ	t 1	i	Î		ţ	1	!	1	38.7
우	Hollow	₩hite	63	06	82.8	S	23	0	0	23	23	••	25.5
욧	Hollow	White	64	86	87.3	S	2	S	S	S	S	S	1
Solid	Þ	ļ	l I	i i	į	ł	!	1	†	!	1	ł	38.1
Solid	p.	White	1	!	ļ	1	1	!	ļ	1	ŀ		42.0

Days from planting, 17-location average. 1/Data from 1977 Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Performance Nursery. Headed: 16-location average. Ripe: Day from planting, 2-location average. Plant Height:

2/Data from ARS-USDA Cereal Rust Laboratory, St. Paul, MN.

 $\overline{2}/\mathrm{Data}$ from 1977 South Dakota Standard Variety Spring Wheat Trials, Watertown.

FORM GR-470-6 (2-15-73)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE GRAIN DIVISION

EXHIBIT C (Wheat)

HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

INSTRUCTIONS: See Neverse.	IIICUM SPP.)	
South Dakota Agricultural		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Station, South Dakota State ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	e University	7800105
and the continuous field of th		VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
Brookings, SD 57007		
	·	EUREKA
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character Place a zero in first box (e.g. $\boxed{0}$ $\boxed{8}$ $\boxed{9}$ or $\boxed{0}$ $\boxed{9}$) when number	er of this variety in the is either 99 or less or	e boxes below. 9 or less.
1. KIND:		
1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5	6 = POLISH 6 = POU	LARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE: The defined in the second of the se	I = SOFT	3 = OTHER (Specify)
1 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify)	2 2 = HARD	
2] = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)		
3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:		
0 6 4 FIRST FLOWERING	0 7 0 LAST	FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	* 7*	electrical transfer of the second
0 1 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	. 3 1 = ARTHUR	2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
	4 = LEMHI	5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	• []	
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):		
8 2 cm. High a stream of a section		
		2 - AUDIS
CM. TALLER THAN		2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
O 1 CM. SHORTER THAN	4 = LEMHI	5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	7. ANTHER COLOR	
3 1 = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN] = YELLOW	2 ≈ PURPLE
8. STEM: A COMMAND COMMAND OF STREET	pr-vermentary	The second secon
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	☐ Waxy bloom: 1	= ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Internodes: 1	= HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
0 4 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)		RNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AF BELOW
9. AURICLES:	Ten Marie	
Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	Hairiness: 1=	- ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
10. LEAF:		
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):		NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	Waxy bloom of	flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESEN
1 0 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf)	3 4 CM. LEA	F LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf):

FORM GR-470-6 (REVERSE)			
11. HEAD:		Character Laurence	NG 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE
<u>_</u>	**2 ***DENSE********************************	1 4 = OTHER	
3 Awnedness: 1 = AWN	LESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3	= AWNLETED 4 = AWNED	
Color at maturity: 5 =	WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER	RED	and the state of t
	478 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
O 7 CM. LENGTH		1 3 MM- WIDTH	
12. GLUMES AT MATURIT			•
Length: I = SHORT (·		(CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 3.5 mm.)
3 = LONG(C)	A. 9 mm.)	3 = WIDE (C)	4. 4 mm.)
Shoulder I = WANTH Shape: 4 = SQUAR	NG 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED E 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE	1 Beak: I = OBTUSE	2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLOR:		14. SEEDLING ANTHOCY	ANIN:
1 = WHITE 2 = RE	D 3 = PURPLE	1 = ABSENT 2	= PRESENT
15. JUVENILE PLANT GRO	WTH HABIT:	<u> </u>	200 W 400 SW
3 1 = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC	τ ,	Shall s
16. SEED:	Mileses Income		
	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	Cheek: 1 = ROUNDE	ED 2 = ANGULAR
2. Brush: 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG] Brush: 1 = NOT CO	ollared 2 = COLCARED
Phenol reaction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY. 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROWN 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK		G E G E 1978
3 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	
0 6 MM. LENGTH	0 3 MM. WIDTH	3 9 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
17. SEED CREASE:	9		
2 Width: 1 = 60% OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'	Depth: 1 = 20% OF	R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
2 = 80% OR LE	SS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'	Z 2 33% OR	LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
	S WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50% OR	LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'
18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Test	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	·	
2 (Races) RHRS, QS	SH, 2 (Races) not identifie	d O STRIPE RUST (Races)	O LOOSE SMUT
HJCS, HNLQ, RI	0 BUNT	1 OTHER (Specify) W	neat Streak Mosaic
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Teste	d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	· ·	<u> </u>
OSAWFLY	O APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
OTHER (Specify)	HESSIAN FLY	0 GP 0 A	0 B 0 C
	RACES:	0.0	6 0 G
20. INDICATE WHICH VARIE	ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	UBMITTED:	Section 1997 Annual Control of the C
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Waldron	Seed size	Waldron
Leaf size	Waldron	Seed shape	Waldron
Leaf color	Unknown	Coleoptile elongation	Waldron
Leaf carriage	Waldron	Seedling pigmentation	Waldron

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysis. (See attachment.)

Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.

- 1. Yield and Agronomic Performance (Tables 3, 4, and 5).
- 2. Yield Response to Environments (Figures 1 and 2).
- 3. Adult Plant Reaction to Stem Rust and Leaf Rust (Tables 6 and 7).
- 4. Milling and Baking Quality (Tables 8 and 9).

Table 3. 1977 Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Nursery.

	Yield (17) <u>1</u> /	Test Weight (18)	Height (17)	Headed (16)	Ripe	Lodging ^{2/}	Disease3/
***************************************	bu/a	lbs/bu	cm	days	days	(1-9)	(1-9)
Era	51.6	59.8	67.5	65	91	1.7	2
Angus	45.8	60.4	68.9	63	92	2.0	2
Eureka	44.9	59.1	81.5	62	85	2.3	4
Waldron	43.6	58.5	7916	61	86	1.9	4
Coteau	43.5	59.4	80.4	64	91	2.7	1
Chris	42.2	59.0	82.8	63	90	4.2	3
Marquis	35.7	58.5	87.3	64	89	3.8	4

 $[\]frac{1}{N}$ Number of stations included.

Table 4. South Dakota Standard Variety Spring Wheat Yield Nursery, 1975-1977.

			Yield			
	19751/	1976	1977	Average	% Waldron	Test Weight
		bu	/A			lbs/bu
Era	21.5	22.9	32.0	25.4	102	<i>5</i> 7
Eureka	24.8	21.7	28.7	25.0	100	56
Waldron	25.2	21.6	28.1	25.0		56
Ellar	23.3	20.9	38.2	24.2	97	57
WS 1809	23.4	22.0	24.2	23.4	94	57
Chris	18.8	18.7	24.1	20.5	82	57

 $[\]frac{1}{A}$ Average of eight locations within each year.

 $[\]frac{2}{1}$ erect; 9 lodged.

 $[\]frac{3}{L}$ Leaf spotting disease - visual rating 1, no infection; 9, severe infection.

Table 6. Adult stem rust reactions of entries in the 1977 Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Nursery. (ARS-USDA, North Dakota, Miller - Statler)

	P	ercent Severi	ty and Reac	tion1/	Av.2/
Entry	Langdon	Oakes	Minot	Fargo	C.I.
Marquis	10MS-tS	1 <i>5</i> MS-5S	0-tMS	25S-25MS	11.3
Chris	0,5MS	0	0,tS	0-tS	0.0
Waldron	tR-O	0	0	0,5MR,40S	0.1
Coteau	0	0	0	10MR-tMS,40S	1.0
Eureka	${ m tR}$	tR	0	5R,5R-tMS	0.5
Angus	0	0	0	t-5MS	0.5
Era	0	0	0	5MR-10MS-5S	0.5

Seeded - Langdon--May 12, Oakes--May 3 (overhead irrigation), Minot--May 4, Fargo--May 12. Carrington nursery destroyed by hail on June 26.

 $\frac{1}{\text{Comma}}$ - Separation of plants into 2 or more reaction classes (segregation or seed mixture).

<u>Dash</u> - Range in reaction on each plant or range in severity between plants with same reactions.

Natural inoculum - Additional inoculations of races 15B and 151 at Fargo.

Readings at soft dough to mid dough stages.

Fargo - High average maximum daily temperature (27.2°C) during plant and rust development may have influenced the higher reactions of some wheats to race 151 (QSH).

2/Av. C.I. - Average coefficient of infection is the average of the 4 coefficients of infection for each entry. Coefficient of infection for each reading was determined by multiplying percent severity by reaction where resistant (R) = 0.2, moderately resistant (MR) = 0.4, intermediate (M) = 0.6, moderately susceptible (MS) = 0.8 and susceptible (S) = 1.0. When a double reading occurred, only the percent severity and the response preceding the comma or dash was used to determine the coefficient. Trace severity (t) = 2.5 percent.

Table 7. Adult leaf rust reactions of entries in the 1977 Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Nursery (ARS-USDA, NDSU, Miller-Statler)

		Severity and F			Av.2/
Entry	Fargo	Langdon	Minot	Oakes	C.I.
Marquis	40S-tMS	50S-10MR	20MS-5MR	40S	34.5
Chris	1OR-5MS	5R-tMS	5MR-tMS	5R-tMS	1.5
Waldron	10MS-10MR,30MS	10MS-5MR	5MR-5R	5R-tMS	5.2
Coteau	5MR-tMS	tR	5R-tMS	5R-tMS	1.0
Eureka	10R-tMS	5MR-tMS	tR	5R-tMS	1.3
Angus	5R-tMS	t-5R	tR	tR	•5
Era	5R-5MS	tR	5R-tMS	5R-1MS	1.9

^{2/}Comma - Separation of plants into two or more reaction classes (segregation or seed mixture)

Dash - Range in reaction on each plant. Range in severity between plants but same reaction class.

Natural Inoculum - Readings were made on flag and flag minus 1 at milk to mid dough stage.

 $[\]frac{2}{\text{C.I.}}$ - Average Coefficient of Infection. Percent severity multiplied by the following values for reaction types R = 0.2, MR = 0.4, MS = 0.8, S = 1.0, t = 0.5.

Quality summary of Eureka and check varieties, 1974-1977. Table 8.

Variety	Total Protein	Flour Protein %=	Flour Extraction %	Wineral @65% Ex. %	Absorp- tion	Mixo- graml/	Mix Time Min.	Dough Char. 2/	Loaf Volume ec.	Bake Evalu- ation3/	General Evalu- ation4/
	Redfield Sa	Samples		-							
Eureka ''' i	15.7	14.0	6,49	0.40*	63.1	5	4.8	Μ	940	~	М
Waldron	L5.3	14.3	67.3	0.38	63.5	5	4.0	Μ	890	~	4
- 1		Samples								-	
Eureka '''' - 1	0.8	17.1	**0.09	•	8,99	w.	2.5	С.	066	C2	Μ
Waldron	٩٠/٦	Τ, Τ	64.1	0.61	66.5	∕†	3.2	Μ	096	23	4
M - 976I	1976 - Moody County	ty Samples -	Foundation	Seed							
Eureka	17.1	16.5	6.79	0.39*	70.2	7		*	985	7	~≀
Ellar	16.1	15.2	68.1	0.36	71.7	7	3.8	M	945	· 01	4
1977 - S	Standard Va	Variety Trials	s - East River	er Bulk - 4	4 Locations	70		·			
Eureka	15.6	14.1	65.8	0.48	64.2	7	5.0	7	190	⟨\	7
Chris	16.6	16.4	8.99	0.41	64.2	4	w ~	٠٠. ١ ٧	197	: N	- 4
Waldron	16.1	15.6	8,99	0.41	64.4	5	4.5	4	188	R	- 4
El lar	16.6	15.9	65.7	0.53	67.3	ν.	4.2	5	197	7	· W
1977 - S	Standard Va	Variety Trials	s - West River	Bulk -	4 Locations						
Eureka	16.7	16.1	61.5	0.50	0.99	9	4.8	M	205	N	7
Chris	16.7	16.4	65.9	0.48	9.99	4	3.5	Μ	203	C3	-4
Waldron	16.7	16.0	62.5	0.51	9.99	5	4.2	m	203	~	. 4
Ellar	17.3	15.9	62.3	0.49	*4.79	Ş		'n	206	4	·M
1977 - B	Breeder's	Yield Trial	- Average of	4 Locations	15						
Eureka	16.1	15.4	63.6	0.42	62.8	9	5.1	4	202	3	N
Waldron	15.8	15.1	9.79	•	63.9	5	7.7	4	201	Μ	4
Ellar	15.8	15.0	63.0	0.53	62.0	7	3.6	7	192	2	R

*Winor Deficiency **Major Deficiency

1/See Reference Mixograms, Hard Red Spring Wheat Quality Report, 1974-1976 Crops. Hard Red Spring and Durum Quality

Laboratory, Fargo, ND
2 = very elastic; 5 = pliable, elastic
2 = satisfactory; 8 = unsatisfactory
1 = no promise; 4 = good promise

Table 9. Results from 1977 Crop Quality Council Tests.

South Dakota 2185

SUMMARY RESULTS OF COOPERATING LABORATORIES

Waldron - Check

Location	Cassol	ton, N.D.	Mino	t, N.D.			<u> </u>	
Variety	Check	SD2185		SD2185				
1 Wheat Protein %	15.9	15.5	15.5	15.5				
2 Flour Protein %	15.0	14.9	14.7	15.1				
3 Test Weight	58.7	58.8	59.5	59.3				
4 1000 Kernel Weight (Grams)	35.7	36.5	35.3	34.8				
5 % Large Kernels	63	70	65	60				1
6 % Small Kernels	1	1	1	1	j.			
7 Wheat Ash %	1.82	1.77	1.59	1.48*			<u> </u>	
8 Flour Extraction %	69.7 455	70.0 434*	73.4	71.1*				1
9 Flour Ash % 10 Pounds 0.46% Ash Flour per cwt. wheat	70.1	73.5*	77.3	77.3				
11 Farinograph: Absorption		64.7	64.9	63.7				
Arrival Tie		3.5	3.8	2.8				
Pe		8.5	8.0	8.0				
Stabili	1	12.8	12.7	23.9*				.
M.T	.l. 30	20	20	10		<u></u>		<u> </u>
12 Bake Absorption (14% M.B.)	65.8	65.6	65.4	64.9*				
13 Loaf Volume (% of Check)	100.0	101.5	100.0	101.0		· ·		
14 Mixing Requirement			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•
Very Long	T		:		-			
Long		777772 *	1	7//// *				
Medium	-	<i>\{///\</i> }					l —	
Short		<i>\\\\\</i>		<i>\\\\\</i>				
Very Short		<i>\///</i> }		<i>\///</i> \			<u> </u>	
15 Dough Characteristics								
Bucky-Tough	T							
Strong-Elastic		<i>\$77777</i> 1*		* (77777)		•		
Medium-Pliable	+ 65%		[]	- <i>\}}</i> }				
Mellow-Very Pliable		<i>\\\\\</i>						
Weak-Short or Sticky				<i>\///</i>				
16 Mixing Tolerance			<u> </u>					
Much More Tolerance Than Check	\top \blacksquare							
More Tolerance Than Check								
Tolerance Equivalent To Check	 			77777	-			
Less Tolerance Than Check								
Much Less Tolerance Than Check		<i>Y///</i>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
17 Internal Crumb Color								
Much Brighter Than Check	T							
Brighter Than Check								
Equivalent To Check	+	* (////	·	* (////				·····
Poorer Than Check						oli i ka James	3 4	
Much Poorer Than Check		<i>Y///</i> /		///				
Reason for ranking below checkt								-
18 Internal Grain and Texture								
Much Better Than Check					.* •		10 Th	1.
Better Than Check								
Equivalent To Check	+	7///		7777			l .	 .
Poorer Than Check								
Much Poorer Than Check		<i>V///</i> 2		1///				
Reason for ranking below checkt			Op	en				
19 Comparison based on laboratories' considerations of all categories (1-18)					***			
Much Better Than Check	T .							
Better Than Check								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Equivalent To Check	↓	(7777)		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				· .
Poorer Than Check				V//)				* .
Much Poorer Than Check			<u> </u>		_			:
* Difference is statistically significant at the 5%								

^{*} Difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.
† Most frequently reported comment.

22